

REMARKS

Applicants have amended claims 1, 2, 7, 15, 19 and 24 and have canceled claim 18. Accordingly, claims 1-17 and 19-32 are currently pending in this patent application. Applicants now address each and every point raised by the Examiner in the above-noted Office action as follows:

I. Claims Rejected Under Section 112

Claims 1-32 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner alleges that in claims 1 and 24 it is not clear how the control box is mounted to the side wall because there is no structure recited in the claims to provide a mounting function.

Previous claim 18 recited "wherein the control box is mounted to the cabinet by means of a manually activated latch." This structural limitation has been added to claims 1 and 24 (and new independent claims 7, 15 and 19). This limitation recites structure that shows how the control box is mounted to the side wall. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

Amendment and Response to 1/25/05
Office Action

-10-

Appl. No. 10/648,836
Atty. Docket No. 65476-5009
Customer No. 24574

II. Claims Rejected Under Section 103

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, 16, 17 and 21-32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wang in view of Lin. The Examiner has also indicated that claim 2 contains allowable subject matter. The allowable subject matter of claim 2 has been added to independent claims 1 and 24. Accordingly, claims 1 and 24 and all claims dependent thereon should be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

III. Allowable Claims

Claims 2, 7, 15 and 18-20 have been identified as being allowable if rewritten into independent form. Claim 1 has been amended to add the limitations of claim 2 and claims 7, 15, 18 and 19 have been rewritten in independent form. In view thereof, Applicants submit that independent claims 1, 7, 15 and 19 are in allowable form and respectfully request that these claims be passed to allowance.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §§ 112 and 103(a) be reconsidered and withdrawn, and that claims 1-17 and 19-32 be passed to allowance.

Amendment and Response to 1/25/05
Office Action

-11-

Appl. No. 10/648,836
Atty. Docket No. 65476-5009
Customer No. 24574

III. Request for Telephone Conference

If, after considering this Amendment, the Examiner believes that any of the pending claims are not properly allowable, Applicants request that the Examiner please contact their authorized representative identified below by phone prior to issuing any further Office action for the purpose of hopefully resolving any such issue.

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 10-0440 if any additional fees are necessary for this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP

Dated: 4/22, 2005

By: 

Grant T. Langton, Esq.
Reg. No. 39,739
1900 Avenue of the Stars
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308
(310) 203-8080
CUSTOMER NO. 24,574

GTL:bcs

Amendment and Response to 1/25/05
Office Action

-12-

Appl. No. 10/648,836
Atty. Docket No. 65476-5009
Customer No. 24574

3296752v2